

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,955	07/12/2001	Sebastien Weitbruch	PD000022 9417	
. 7590 08/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Joseph S. Tripoli			CATHEY II, PATRICK H	
Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc. Two Independence Way			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 5312			2613	
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/903,955	WEITBRUCH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Patrick H. Cathey II	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	-1				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,13,14,16 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 11,12,15 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Page 1 Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/903,955

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim's 10, 13, 14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhu (US 6,100,863).

Zhu teaches a video picture with reduced moving pixel distortion (MPD). This method defines more than N subfields in order to represent 2^N gray scale levels. This results in some gray scale values being able to be represented by multiple subfield combinations, that is to say multiple code values. The mapping memory then maps the intensity value of each respective pixel into a respective member of the selected set of minimum MPD codes. This then forms the set of minimum MPD codes so as to minimize moving pixel distortion on the display device between successive frames (Column 2, lines 1-22).

As for Claim's 10 and 16, although Zhu fails to specifically teach the use of motion vectors, he does teach that MPD (motion pixel distortion) or changes in pixel values are considered and are noted as to duration (i.e. sustain). Since the use of motion vectors are part of the MPEG standard it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use them to comply with often used standard video compression. (Official Notice)

Application/Control Number: 09/903,955

Art Unit: 2613

As for Claim 13, Zhu teaches the input data for each pixel consisting of a red, green and blue intensity value (Column 3, lines 7-10).

As for Claim 14, Zhu teaches a subfield divided into an addressing period, a sustaining period and an erasing period (Column 5, lines 10-17).

As for Claim 18, Zhu teaches the use of plasma display panels and a DMD display (Column 1, lines 6-12).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim's 11, 12, 15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Weitbruch et al. (US 6,717,558) teaches corrections of artifacts for plasma display panels. Lee (US 5,760,846) teaches the use of motion vectors for estimators. Saha et al. (US 6,310,918) also teaches the use of motion vectors estimators. Dijk (US 6,373,477) teaches methods for the plasma display panels as well.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick H. Cathey II whose telephone number is (703) 305-4909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 to 5:00 (Every other friday off).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,955

Art Unit: 2613

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (703) 503-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick H. Cathey II Examiner Art Unit 2613

PHC II

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600